Interview Summary	10/501,395	LICHTENBERG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ARADHANA SASAN	1615	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) <u>ARADHANA SASAN</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>VIRGIL MARSH</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 08 April 2009.			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed:			
Identification of prior art discussed:			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Marsh left voice mails requesting a statement on the office action regarding the appeal brief that was filed 12/09/08, rather than just the withdrawl of finality of the last office action. The Examiner contacted Mr. Marsh and informed him that a new office action (with the statement that prosecution was being reopened after filing of the appeal brief) will follow. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.			
/Aradhana Sasan/ Examiner, Art Unit 1615			

Application No.

Applicant(s)